	Page 1					
1 2	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF ALASKA					
3						
4	JOHN GILBERT,)					
1	Plaintiff,					
5	vs.					
6						
7	APC NATCHIQ, INC.,)					
	Defendant.)) Case No. 3:03-CV-00174-RRB					
8 9						
	DEPOSITION OF MARK C. NELSON June 15, 2006					
10	APPEARANCES:					
12	FOR THE PLAINTIFF: MR. KENNETH L. COVELL Attorney at Law					
13	Law Offices of Kenneth L. Covell					
14	712 West 8th Avenue					
	Fairbanks, Alaska 99701 (907) 452-4377					
15 16						
	FOR THE DEFENDANT: MS. PATRICIA ZOBEL DeLisio Moran Geraghty					
17	& Zobel, P.C.					
18	Attorneys at Law 943 West 6th Avenue					
19	Anchorage, Alaska 99501					
20	(907) 279-9574					
21	ALSO PRESENT: MR. DOUGLAS SMITH					
22 23	* * * *					
24						
25	EXHIBIT <u>***</u> PAGE ***/ OF *** **** **** **** **** **** **** ****					

Page 5

message telephone number. 441-8071.

COURT REPORTER: Thank you. Counsel would you please identify yourselves for the record?

MR. COVELL: Kenneth Covell for plaintiff

Gilbert.

MS. ZOBEL: Patricia Zobel for APC defendant.

COURT REPORTER: Thank you. You may proceed.

MR. COVELL: Thank you.

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. COVELL:

Mr. Nelson, you were deposed a few years ago on a case

called Zuber v. APC, right?

14 Α

And in preparation for today's deposition, did you have 15 Q

an opportunity to review that prior deposition? 16

The only prior I've done, is five minutes ago I reread 17 Α

the letter from the State -- and I just did that. 18

Okay. I don't intend to go into depth in your prior 19 Q

deposition, but do you have any reason, even if you 20

haven't reviewed your prior deposition to think your 21

answers would be different today than they would be 22

from back then, at least in general?

24 They should -- they should be identical. Α

Okay. And what you just reviewed, was this WHOL-122, 25 Q

PROCEEDINGS

(On record)

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

COURT REPORTER: My name is Cheri Tabor and I'm a court reporter for Metro Court Reporting in Anchorage,

N-4 - Supplemental Disclosure from Patricia

Zobel 32

description 43

N-6 - New job announcements and

N-5 - Safety Department Organizational Chart . . . 33

Alaska. Today's date is June 15, 2006, and the time is

approximately 10:30 a.m. We are at the offices of DeLisio Moran Geraghty & Zobel, P.C., 943 West 6th Avenue, Anchorage,

Alaska 99501 for the deposition of Mark Nelson. This case is

in the United States District Court for the District of Alaska,

in the matter of Gilbert v. APC, Case No. 3:03-CV-00174 RRB.

11 Mr. Nelson, please raise your right hand so I can swear 12

you in. 13

10

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

24

(Oath administered)

MR. NELSON: I do.

MARK C. NELSON

having first been duly sworn under Oath, testified as follows

18

COURT REPORTER: Thank you. Please state your

full name and spell your last name for the record.

Mark Christian Nelson, N-e-l-s-o-n

COURT REPORTER: And I need a mailing address

for you 23

3900 C Street, Suite 301, Anchorage, 99503. A

COURT REPORTER: I also need a daytime or

Page 3

23

1

2

4

5

6

8

15

16

is that the letter you reviewed, the letter from Randy

Carr to you?

Is that the -- yes. 3 Α

Okay. Since 2003, I guess what I'm trying to get at is Q

briefly where you are in the organization since last we

spoke. What I understood when we last spoke was you

were president of APC Natchiq, is that right or wrong 7

or close?

Correct. 9 Α

All right. And that was 26 of August 2003, does that 10 0

sound about right? 11

It's right. Correct. 12 Α

Right around August 26th? Okay. So, since then where Q 13

have you been job wise or organizationally with APC? 14

And I understand that there's AES Energy Services and that's all somewhat unclear to me, but if you could try

to briefly run us through your position, let's say 17 18

relative to your old position -- organizationally?

2004, I moved to -- from the presidency of APC to --19 A the company name was changed to ASRC Energy Services 20

Operations and Maintenance. Sometime in the period 21

after that deposition, until the time I left, we 22

changed the company name. I moved to the parent 23

company, ASRC Energy.

25 Q Okay.

2 (Pages 2 to 5)

24

1

Page 8

Page 6 Headed up the business development group for the parent 1 Α company for about a year. And in the -- December of 2 this year, moved over at -- a different job offer. 3 4 5 Q I'm no longer employed by ASRC Energy Services. Α 6 Okay. So you went to this construction holding 0 7 company, sometime in the last year or so, I think. 8 9 In the last six months. Α Okay. And so you're out of ASRC entirely, at that 10 Q point? 11 Right. I'm out of ASRC Energy Services. 12 Α Is the company you're with now, an ASRC company? 13 Q Yes. 14 Α And what's the name of that? 15 Q ASRC Construction Holding Company. 16 Α

Can you rep- --2 A Moved over as a COO of a construction holding company.

Okay. So you were in the presidency through '04, and 17 Q then you went into marketing and development, is that 18 right? 19 Correct. 20 A And what did you market and develop, just briefly? 21 Q Business of ASRC Energy Services. 22 Α Okay. So you still would have been president in April 23 Q '03 when the positions of safety specialist and safety 24 supervisor were reclassified from exempt to non- -- no, 25 Page 7 DEPOSITION OF MARK C. NELSON JUNE 15, 2006

۱	3	Q	Sure.
	4	Α	In this previous deposition?
	5	Q	In the previous case, in Zuber correct me if I
	6		interchange the names here. In the Zuber case, we
	7		spent a lot of time talking with you about whether or
	8		not the safety specialist job was exempt or non-exempt.
	9	Α	I don't I don't recall. The case we spent time
	10		around another position, a warehouseman, may we may
	11		have. I'd have to look back to the deposition. I
	12		don't know how much detail in the deposition we talked
١	13		about safety specialist.
	14	Q	Okay. Well
	15	À	Well maybe we did, yes.
	16	Q	Okay. Let me represent to you that's reflected in your
	17		deposition.
	18	Α	Okay.
	19	Q	That you spent a fair amount of time discussing
Ì	20		conversations with Randy Carr, using some worksheets
	21		concerning questions of whether or not somebody ought
	22		to be exempt under the administrative professional or
	23		other exemptions. Is this refreshing your recollection
	24		at all?
	25	A	Yes, okay. Safety special
	<u> </u>		

not eligible for overtime, right?

1		from non-exempt to exempt?
2	Α	Correct.
3	Q	All right. And assuming Mr. Gilbert ended his
4		employment about April '03, he would have been one of
5		the employees under your direct command?
6	Α	At that time I was president, if he was an employee of
7		APC, he would have been in a in the direct line
8		of
9	Q	Okay. And why don't we cover this question right now:
10		Do you know Mr. Gilbert vaguely or not at all?
11	Α	Only by name.
12	Q	Okay. And is that because of the lawsuit or is that
13		because at the time he was there, you had some exposure
14		to him?
15	Α	Probably a combination of both.
16	Q	Okay. But basically it's
17	A	If he walked in here right now, I wouldn't know the
18		guy.
19	Q	All right. Basically, the familiarity is if you saw
20		his name on a roster, you'd go, that's one of my guys
21		or I think that's one of my guys?
22	Α	In the context of APC, sure.
23	Q	In Zuber we discussed you examining the position of
24		safety specialist and making a determination whether or
25		not that position ought to be eligible for overtime or

- 1			
,			Page 9
	1	Q	Right.
	2	A	Not a supervisor.
	2 3 4 5	Q	Right.
	4	Α	Okay, yes.
	5	Q	Did you do any examination of the position of safety
	6		supervisor to determine whether or not it ought to be
	7		exempt for overtime or not?
	-8		MS. ZOBEL: You're speaking of 1998 or at any
	9	time	
	10		MR. COVELL: Let's say ever, for the time being
	11	and	then we can break it down by time.
	12	A	At the time, and I don't which year this was '97,
	13		'90 the same timeframe I spoke with the State
	14		representative which was Carr, Randy Carr.
	15	Q	Right.
	16	A	
	17	Q	Okay. And so if Mr. Carr's letter is June 26, '97, it
	18		would be in that timeframe?
	19	A	Right.
	20	Q	Okay. And you've got a copy of that there with you,
	21		right?
	22	Α	Right.
	23	Q	Okay. And that's alternatively referred to on the face
	24		of it, in the right-hand corner as WHOL-122, right?

24 25

Correct.

Page 10 MR. COVELL: Why don't we get this marked 1 number one, here please, madam clerk. 2 COURT REPORTER: Exhibit N-1 marked. 3 4 (Deposition Exhibit N-1 marked) MR. COVELL: And then just for the record we 5 should probably make these Z's in front of the APC's, would you 6 agree with that? 7 MS. ZOBEL: G. Why would you do Z? 8 MR. COVELL: Why don't we go off record for 9 10 just a second. (Off record) 11 (On record) 12 MR. COVELL: And for purposes of clarity here, 13 we've agreed that the N-1 will place a Z on each page in front 14 of the APC numbers, because it's our belief that they came from 15 the Zuber case originally, in order to avoid confusion with similarly numbered pages disclosed in Gilbert. All right. I 17 can get back on my train of thought here. 18 (By Mr. Covell) And in looking at the last two pages 19 then of N-1, that's that Randy Carr letter of June 26, 20 '97 to yourself, and it's alternatively designated near 21 the upper right-hand corner as WHOL-122, is that right? 22 Correct. 23 Α MS. ZOBEL: Could I ask what the WHOL is? 24 MR. COVELL: It's wage and hour opinion letter. 25

Page 12 can query him about that, but that's my understanding and I 2 don't want the record to be messed up because we're talking 3 applies and oranges. MR. COVELL: Well that's..... 4 MS. ZOBEL: See if that's his understanding. 5 MR. COVELL: All right. 6 (By Mr. Covell) So, around that time of Mr. Carr's 7 O letter, you did a review of the safety supervisor 8 9 position to see whether or not he qualified for overtime or not? He said yes to that question. 10 Subsequent question now, is tell me what you did in 11 that regard? In other words tell me how you conducted 12 13 vour review. 14 Α For a safety supervisor? 15 Q Uh-huh. I started with the position -- the employees in the 16 Α position. In the year '96, '97, Bob Cannon was the 17 supervisor, one of the supervisors, and basically 18 talked with those employees or that person about the 19 position. Although at the time, those positions were 20 direct reports of mine, so I also had a pretty good 21 background of what they were doing for me as a direct 22 report. So the combination of those two things, I 23 could draw a conclusion in that if I felt the -- there

Page 11 MS. ZOBEL: Of course, it is. All right. I 1 was thinking you had put it on there..... 2 3 MR. COVELL: No. MS. ZOBEL:in the prior deposition. 4 MR. COVELL: Okay. 5 MS. ZOBEL: Too many numbers in this thing. 6 MR. COVELL: And acronyms, too. 7 MS. ZOBEL: Yes. 8 (By Mr. Covell) So, you said, going back -- testing my 9 memory here, you said at the time contemporaneous to 10 that, you did a review of all positions in APC? 11 Correct. 12 Α Okay. And one of those --13 Q It's one of the positions. 14 Α I apologize, Mr. Nelson, sometimes the questions we ask 15 O sound stupid, but for clarity of the record we have to 16 ask the redundant questions. 17 18 MS. ZOBEL: And I think I need to clarify the record here with the regard to the safety supervisor position, 19 because I think that they were different at the time that Mark 20 was doing this in '97 and '98 and I think that comes from the 21 22 testimony of Doug Smith, because when he came in it was a different -- he created that position. It was different from 23 what had been held by Mr. Cannon or Gary Buchanan, I think: 24 Not Gary Buchanan, but other people who had held that. And you

further research the position.

2 Q Okay. And did that come to pass or not?

3 Α Well for

24

25

1

Did you do further research? 4 Q

The safety supervisors were a position that I needed 5 Α further clarification around which was also part of my 6 7 conversation, in general, with the Wage and Hour 8 Division.

was further research I needed to do, then I would

9 Q All right. So, you talked to Mr. Cannon? You had a conversation with the Wage and Hour Division and we 10 should assume that conversation or conversations was 11 12 with Mr. Carr or not?

I know I had at least one conversation with Mr. Carr. 13 Α 14 You're drawing on 10 years ago now.

15 Q

I -- I know I talked with him. I can almost remember Α 16 some of it very vividly -- the details of the 17

conversation with Mr. Cannon, but how many 18

conversations with the State now, I don't recall. 19 Okay. But, it's my recollection, I've looked at your 20 Q

deposition more recently..... 21

Yes, you may be better -- answer the question. 22 A

I think you said you may have talked to Mr. Carr five 23 Q 24 or six times. Something in that nature would be --

okay. But besides -- was there another actor besides

Page 13

25

CASE NO. 3:03-CV-00174-RRB

		Page 14			Page 16
1		Mr. Carr, that you recollect?	1		might, do you have any specialized training, education
2	Α	I don't recall.	2		or experience that would lend you an ability perhaps
3	Q	Okay. So you talked to Cannon and you talked to Carr	3		above somebody else with that and I don't mean to
4	•	and then what conclusion, if any, did you reach about	4		demean accounting, but to call it the generic
5		the exempt or non-exempt status of the safety super	5		education, training and experience as opposed to some
6		let me pull that question. Did you do anything else in	6		nature of specialized training, experience or education
7		considering whether or not the safety supervisor ought	7		in the area of human resources? Do you under that as a
8		to be exempt or non-exempt?	8		question?
9	\mathbf{A}	If the file didn't reference a written document, and	9	Α	Is that a question?
10		with the safety supervisors, I don't recall doing one.	10	Q	That was the question.
11		I don't think there was a there's a stand checklist.	11	A	I'm not sure I know how to answer. Let me
12		I you've got a copy of it, that we used to do back	12	Q	All right. Do you have specialized training and
13		then, or I had done a number of them at the time. I	13		experience in human resources?
14		don't recall doing one for the safety supervisors.	14	Α	Outside of the work place, beyond conference I think
15	Q	Okay.	15		one of them lies with the State or some of those
16	À	I doubt that I did given my recollection of the	16	Q	Okay. You've been in conference or seminar, but
17		position at this time.	17		generally not?
18	Q	And then you brought up the checklist and whatnot	18	A	Those are specialized trainings around wage and hour,
19		papers. I'm not aware of any papers that relate to the	19		they last 3, 4 days put on by the State, that type
20		safety supervisor analysis as opposed to there are some	20		of thing. Beyond that, no.
21		that you mentioned in regard to the safety specialist	21	Q	Okay.
22		position.	22		MR. COVELL: I'd like to take a brief pause
23	Α	The second tier. Right, that's correct.	23	her	e madame reporter.
24	Q	Okay. And so that me not having any comports was	24		(Off record)
25	`	your recollection of what you did?	25		(Deposition Exhibit N-2 marked)
		Page 15			Page 17

1	Α	Uh-huh (affirmat	ive).
2	O	Okay. All right.	What

3

4

5

at training or experience did you have at that time in human relation? I'm sorry, human resources or employee resources, personnel, whatever you want to call it that would qualify you to make an exempt, non-exempt determination? 6 Well, I was competent to talk with the State, and read Α

7 and understand the regs as best I could interpret. I 8 think at the time I asked our Anchorage office for 9 assistance for a second or third opinion and based upon 10 my formal education, I came to some conclusions around 11 all of the positions on the North Slope whether they 12 were exempt or non-exempt.

13 Let me paraphrase here, just to try to move things 14 Q along. If I'm suggesting incorrectly, straighten me 15 out, but you read and write English, you've gone to 16 school, you have a degree in accounting, you can 17 analyze things as well as the next person on the street 18 so to speak, or perhaps better because of your training 19 and education of whatever field you're in, and that 20 enables you to make that determination, is that 21 essentially what you're telling me? 22

23 Α Correct.

Okay. And then my question, at least in part, leans 24 Q toward saying besides having the abilities that many 25

(On record) 1 2

3

4

MR. COVELL: Mr. Nelson, we marked for you Exhibit N-3, is it?

COURT REPORTER: N-2.

(By Mr. Covell) N-2, which is your former deposition 5 Q and I directed your attention to pages 26, line 23 6 through page 28, line 20. You've had a chance to read 7 that off record? 8

9 Α (No audible answer)

10 Q You need to answer audibly.

Okay. I'm sorry. I didn't understand it was a 11 Α 12 question -- yes.

All right. Thank you. Is what you're saying there in O 13 that passage about a review of the safety supervisor 14 position, the same thing you're telling me today? 15

16 Α Yes.

Okay. 17 Q

(Off record comments) 18

And besides that review then you did at that time of 19 Q the safety supervisor position for eligibility for 20 overtime from that time until you left your job as 21 president in 2004, were you involved in any other 22 reviews of the safety supervisor position for 23 eligibility of overtime? 24

No. 25 Α